

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/825,100	Applicant(s) HANNA ET AL.	
	Examiner Kaveh Abrishamkar	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(2) Paul Sorkin (Reg. No. 39,039).
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 December 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

101 rejection on Claim 19

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner noted that Claim 19 is not statutory according to the revised 101 rules. The Applicant agrees to cancel claim 19 by virtue of an Examiner's Amendment to obviate the possible 101 rejection.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)